Appendix 1

Title	Purpose of Consideration	Guests	Decisions / Follow up Items	Cabinet Member Response / Officer Response
Highways Business Plan IMG 10.12.08	To scrutinise the Highways Service Plan	Cabinet Member during 2008 – Mr Ferrin Managing Director – Mike Austerberry	Highways Business Plan IMG 02.12.08 - A list of gully schedules be supplied to all Members after the elections	21.07.10 – The gulley emptying schedules would be issued to Members in the next few weeks. 15.09.10 – Members have received a map showing gulley emptying routes and schedule information would be available in the next few weeks
Kent Design Guide 09.12.09		Mr N Chard Mr M Austerberry Mrs B Cooper Mr B White	Kent Design Guide Interim Guidance Note 3: Residential Parking	A report was presented to Environment Highways and Waste Policy and Overview Committee on this issue at its meeting on 29 July 2010. The following recommendations were agreed a) Endorse the testing of the robustness of IGN3 described in Section 4 and receive a report on the outcomes when they are available. b) Acknowledge the concerns of the Kent Developers' Group, and the work that is being undertaken to address these concerns, and encourage further dialogue at appropriate levels to understand the actual implications of and opportunities presented by IGN3, and its interpretation at local level. c) Note that public consultation on Ashford Borough Council's draft Residential Parking SPD offers developers and designers an

Title	Purpose of Consideration	Guests	Decisions / Follow up Items	Cabinet Member Response / Officer Response
				opportunity to make further representations on the implications of 'IGN3 based guidance', having regard for the need to address the problems of some past approaches. d) Acknowledge the widespread concern among residents concerning parking in recent residential developments, and the social and cost implications arising from the problems caused, and welcome collaborative working approaches that are seeking to avoid replication of these problems in future developments. 15.09.10 – The Chairman and Vice-Chairmen of the Cabinet Scrutiny Committee are due to discuss this issue with the Director of Environment, Highways and Waste
Increasing the Number of Communities Receiving Warden Services 21.07.10		Mr M Hill Mr S Beaumont	 Thank Mr Hill and Mr Beaumont for attending the meeting and answering Members' questions Request that any redeployment or service change to the Community Wardens be part of a formal Cabinet Member decision Welcome the assurance given that there would be consultation with local Members and Parish and Town Council Members during the review process before any redeployment or service change is made, Welcome the invitation for Members to request the deployment of a Community Warden to urban areas, subject to police 	2. Policy decisions regarding changes to the wardens service will be in consultation with local Members and the communities served but deployment of individual wardens is an operational matter and will not be a formal Cabinet member decision. 3. Agreed 4. –

Title	Purpose of Consideration	Guests	Decisions / Follow up Items	Cabinet Member Response / Officer Response
			advice, 5. Request that the Communities Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee monitor the progress of the Community Warden Service following the redeployment of the wardens.	Communities Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee to monitor the
				15.09.10 - Discussions are ongoing with the Directorate about the deployment of wardens.
Transparency Programme: How We're Spending Your Money 15.09.10		Mr R Gough Ms K Kerswell Ms D Exall Mr P Francis	 Thank Mr Gough, Ms Kerswell, Ms Exall and Mr Francis for attending the meeting and answering Members' questions Welcome the introduction of the Transparency Programme, as outlined in the report to Cabinet The Cabinet be asked to monitor the effectiveness of the various reporting mechanisms to ensure that they are costeffective and delivering genuine value to KCC in terms of the objectives of the programme and that the Cabinet should receive regular monitoring reports; the first such report being in December 2010 and then six-monthly thereafter The Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committees should be involved in the monitoring process to ensure that they are 	Agreed and will be actioned Agreed and will be actioned
Core Monitoring		Mr R Gough Ms K Kerswell	given the opportunity to suggest improvements to the programme. 1. Thank Mr Gough, Ms Kerswell, Mrs Garton, Mr Fitzgerald, Mr Chard, Ms	

Title	Purpose of Consideration	Guests	Decisions / Follow up Items	Cabinet Member Response / Officer Response
Report 15.09.10	Consideration	Mrs S Garton Mr R Fitzgerald Mr N Chard Ms C Mckenzie Mr J Burr Mrs J Whittle Mrs R Turner Mr C Feltham	Mckenzie, Mr Burr, Mrs Whittle, Mrs Turner and Mr Feltham for attending the meeting and responding to Members' questions 2. Welcome the assurance that the relevant parts of the Core Monitoring Report will be submitted to the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committees at the most appropriate time, i.e. during the meetings cycle immediately after the report has been considered by the Cabinet 3. The Cabinet Member be asked to examine the format of the performance monitoring reports submitted to the Kent and Medway Fire and Rescue Authority, as it was felt that these reports were particularly clear and helpful to Members, to see if any improvements can be made in the format of the Core Monitoring Reports 4. The Managing Director, Children Families and Education be asked to ensure that the Council's responses to the areas for development and areas for priority action, contained in the letter from Ofsted dated 9 September 2010, are included within the report due to be considered by the County Council on safeguarding, so that they can be debated by all Members. 5. That comparative information on Ofsted's	Agreed and being actioned This has been actioned and is contained in the County Council report for 14 October. This will be provided to the Vulnerable
			assessment of safeguarding in other Councils be supplied to Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee Members.	Children & Partnerships POSC at the next meeting.

Title	Purpose of Consideration	Guests	Decisions / Follow up Items	Cabinet Member Response / Officer Response
Review of SEN Units – Outcome of the		Mrs J Whittle Mrs R Turner Mr C Feltham	Thank Mrs Whittle, Mrs Turner and Mr Feltham for attending the meeting and responding to Members' questions Ask the Managing Director, Children	A report will be taken to the CEE
Evaluation of the Lead School Pilot 15.09.10			2. Ask the Managing Director, Children, Families and Education to ensure that the CFE (Vulnerable Children and Partnerships) Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee is given a formal opportunity to monitor progress of the SEN review at all appropriate stages.	A report will be taken to the CFE (Vulnerable Children and Partnerships) Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee
			3. Ask the Cabinet Member Children, Families and Education to ensure that during the formal consultation process, consultees are made aware of the budgetary implications associated with the proposals as well as the policy implications, and that all headteachers are engaged in the consultation process.	Full consultation on budgetary issues will be undertaken through the Schools Forum
			4. Welcome the assurance given by the Managing Director, Children, Families and Education, that KCC will continue to lobby central Government to ensure that, where there are SEN units in mainstream schools, exam results of SEN pupils are disaggregated. This is to avoid these results affecting league table positions and disincentivising mainstream schools admitting SEN pupils.	Full consultation on budgetary issues will be undertaken through the Schools Forum